

From: Gary S. Gevisser

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 12:32 PM

To: 'JOE CARROLL, BLOOMBERG/ NEWSROOM:'

Cc: **rest;** Jeffrey R. Krinsk - Finkelstein & Krinsk; Devin Standard; Office of the Israeli Defense Department Attache - Israeli Embassy Washington DC.; johnandken@johnandkenshow.com; Deborah "Aggressive-Billions Nazi Slave Wage" Sturman Esq.; Michael Strauss Esq. - International Monetary Fund; 60m@cbsnews.com; Oprah; oreilly@foxnews.com; Sternshow@howardstern.com; Ron Bellows - Senior Risk Management specialist - AIG; Dr. Rod Smith - Waterstrategist.com; Roger Hedgecock - Recovering Lawyer; Valerie Schulte Esq. - National Association of Broadcasters; US Navy Vice Admiral John Stufflebeem; jimandjoe@ussliberty.com; United States Justice Department; Paul Robinson; Tony "non-racial liberal" Leon MP - former Leader of the Democratic Alliance - Republic of South Africa; Mossad; President@whitehouse.gov

Subject: NOW - bush follow up - Jewish Conspiracy

I take your now very seriously, now!

-----Original Message-----

From: JOE CARROLL, BLOOMBERG/ NEWSROOM: [mailto:jcarroll8@bloomberg.net]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 12:07 PM

To: gevisser@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Re: [BUSH FOLLOW UP](#) - Jewish Conspiracy

Remove me from your email list. Now.

-----Original Message-----

From: Gary S. Gevisser

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:37 AM

To: jcarroll8@bloomberg.net

Subject: RE: FW: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil

First, I am sorry but I didn't see your earlier response at 9:36 AM, "You must focus your question. I have no idea if you're asking me something or making a speech."

If the US isn't an industrial economy anymore which I agree, then we should both agree that the only thing propping up our currency is our military might; bearing in mind that over the course of the past 30 years the US military has yet to go up against a first rate military?

Point being again that while you maintain Chinese industry is "incredibly inefficient and polluting" the Chinese, going on 1.5 billion strong, second of all don't consume as much oil as the United States that we agree is no longer an industrial economy, and therefore if China who is not afraid of the US military might, were to revalue their currency, making the Chinese yuan carry more "purchasing power", it would in that instant result in the US Dollar no longer being the dominant global currency.

Now if the US worker could roll up their sleeves and compete pound-for-pound with the efficiency of the Chinese, who on average are in far better physical shape, and remember the Chinese continue, despite all their pollution in their lungs to set the scholastic levels wherever they go including here in the United States, then that would still all take time, given the time it would take to train US

workers who have grown over the past 3 decades both fat and very lazy, wouldn't you also agree?

Point being, I don't see how you cannot get the fact that Chinese are simply "sitting back" waiting for the US government officials who keep talking publicly about China manipulating their currency, to stop with all the nonsense distracting rhetoric, and simply devalue the US Dollar, and then we would all see how quickly US workers can compete against the Chinese, who in the instant the US were to devalue its currency anywhere close to what it is worth, based on the productivity of its work force, China would be able "price out" the US when it comes to bidding on those 12 to 13 million barrels of oil the US imports every day.

What prevents you from responding to each point in each of my paragraphs?

-----Original Message-----

From: jcarroll8@bloomberg.net [mailto:jcarroll8@bloomberg.net]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 10:01 AM

To: gevisser@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Re:FW: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil

About what? You're all over the board in that message. I can't figure out if it's a question or a speech. China burns a lot of oil. The U.S. isn't an industrial economy anymore. What's your point? Hasn't the past 30 years of U.S. history shown that a factory-based economy isn't necessary to maintain global dominance?

By the by, Chinese industry is incredibly inefficient and polluting. And the increase in demand for petroleum in China is a result of 400 million peasants moving to the cities and buying automobiles, rather than the rise in Chinese industrial capacity. So it's not a matter of frivolous consumption (by the U.S.) versus productive manufacturing (in China).

As for the currencies, the U.S. dollar, despite its recent weakness relative to the Euro and yen, still is the dominant global currency and will remain so. If you don't think so, then by all means invest your retirement funds in the Chinese stock market. A rather risky undertaking, I think you'll agree.

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary S. Gevisser [mailto:gevisser@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 9:53 AM

To: 'jcarroll8@bloomberg.net'

Subject: FW: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil

So what do you think?

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary S. Gevisser

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 9:28 AM

To: 'jcarroll8@bloomberg.net'

Subject: RE: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil

Okay.

We agree the US is dependent on imports for at least 60% of our oil energy needs, approximately, with the price of a barrel of oil at some US\$120 a barrel, some US\$1.4 billion, or about 20% of the cost of a nuclear powered aircraft carrier, again all in "today's Dollars".

Putting aside for the moment that oil constitutes approximately 80% of the cost of fighting a war bearing in mind all the heavy duty equipment is already paid for in one form or another, most of that \$1.4 billion expenditure goes to fueling automobiles versus quite the opposite in China which I think we can agree is fueling the world's economies.

China's oil "needs" are not for big recreational vehicles clogging up their ever improving roads, freeways and highways but to produce much desired goods for export to countries like the US who produce very little that the world wants, even our military sales which constitute the most dollar value of exports, cannot keep up with the ever increasing military might of China and its allies whose currencies are significantly undervalued; bearing in mind that backing any country's currency is both its industrial as well as military might which in the case of the United States has been waning exponentially in recent times.

Point being that China as its oil and coal needs "heat up" may not wait for the US to devalue the US Dollar and simply revalue theirs that in the very next instant blocks the US from the world's oil markets, wouldn't you agree?

Also, in that same instant of revaluing the Chinese yaun, backed up by not only China's military but its extraordinary industrial base plus, most of all, its gold production as well as gold imports, China without firing a shot would paralyze the US economy which wouldn't be "good" in that same instant for China exporting their goods to the US which accounts for only 4.2% of the world's population, agree?

But in that same instant of revaluing their so very undervalued currency, China can focus its "exports" on their domestic market some 5 times the size of the US market; bearing in mind that with a revalued currency, Chinese workers, again all in that very same instant of revaluing the Chinese yaun, can now afford more than just those goods being shipped to the US?

-----Original Message-----

From: jcarroll18@bloomberg.net [mailto:jcarroll18@bloomberg.net]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 9:08 AM

To: gevisser@sbcglobal.net

Subject: RE: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil

Rephrasing might help me understand your question(s). That was the longest sentence I've ever seen.

----- Original Message -----

From: Gary S. Gevisser <gevisser@sbcglobal.net>

At: 4/25 12:07:13

You are awesomely articulate.

I am, however, pretty good at math and therefore you should have no difficulty explaining to me how the fact that we are dependant on imports for most likely more than 60% of our energy needs that mostly fuel automobiles as opposed to heavy duty manufacturing plants that we find in China which we can all agree is fueling the world's economies, hasn't resulted in China not waiting for us to devalue our currency and simply revalue theirs that in the very next instant blocks the US from the world's oil markets and in that same instant paralyzing the US economy which wouldn't be good for China exporting their goods to the US which accounts for only 4.2% of the world's population versus focusing on their own market some 5 times the size of the US market bearing in mind that with a revalued currency, Chinese workers, all in that very same instant of revaluing the Chinese yaun can now afford more than just those goods being shipped to the US?

If need be, I can try rephrasing that question.

Best regards,

Gary

-----Original Message-----

From: jcarroll8@bloomberg.net [mailto:jcarroll8@bloomberg.net]

Sent: Friday, April 25, 2008 8:13 AM

To: gevisser@sbcglobal.net

Subject: Re:I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil per

Good morning, Mr Gevisser.

The U.S. imports about 10 million barrels a day and another 2 million to 3 million barrels daily of gasoline, diesel, jet fuel and other petroleum-derived products. U.S. refineries process about 15.2 million barrels of crude a day. The country burns about 20 million barrels of oil-based fuels a day. The difference between domestic production and consumption is covered by imports and the volumetric expansion that occurs when crude oil is refined into fuels (by which I mean you get slightly more barrels of fuel from a refinery than the number of barrels of crude you poured in).

Kind regards,

Joe Carroll/Bloomberg News

From: Gary S. Gevisser [mailto:gevisser@sbcglobal.net]

Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2008 6:30 PM

To: 'jcarroll8@bloomberg.net'

Subject: I thought the US only imports some 4 million barrels of oil per day?